

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/995,921

Applicant(s)

KOES ET AL.

Examiner

Sin J. Lee

Art Unit

1752

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sin J. Lee.

(3)_____.

(2) Mr. Marvin Bressler (attorney for applicants).

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,6,8,9 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In claim 1, line 10, --- strip --- will be inserted between "photoresist" and "enhancer". In claim 1, starting from line 11 to the end of the claim, "and has the formula . . . , halogen or R1" will be deleted (because claim 1 now is claiming specific compounds for the photoresist strip enhancer and thus the generic formula is unnecessary). For the same reason, same phrase will be deleted from the present claims 9 and 13. In claim 6, line 2, change "photoimageable" to --- photoresist --- (in order to provide correct antecedent basis). In claim 8, line 2, insert --- by --- between "10%" and "wt".